Approved For Release 2000/09/12 A-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

LECTURE: "Stratogic Intelligence Estimate, U.SF and Bastern Europe" delivered 25X1A9a

before Strategic Intelligence School, US kray on 26 January 1951 by 25X1A9a

Office of Rational Betimates, CIA.

Introduction

When I was assigned this subject, I was generously given a lot of leavey. And I plan to use it. I'm going to do something very much like a law professor I once studied under said he did when he was taking examinations. He began by writing down everything he knew about the entire course. Then he divided this into a number of parts corresponding to the number of questions. Then he manhard each of these parts and handed in his paper. He hoped that the answers hore some relation to the questions but he was never sure. Well, I hope that what will be discussed this morning has some relation to the assigned topic tart I'm not care.

The assigned subject is a pretty broad order. Therefore I hope you bear with me as I nerrow it down somewhat. A strategic intelligence estimate of the BEER and Restorn Aurope really boils down to what, in current intelligence lingo, ue call Seriet capabilities and intentions. But in looking over the schedule



of the preceding lectures, it appears that you centimen have already covered quite thereughly those factors that deal with empablishes — the geographic, seemed, and military conditions which go to make up the USER's present power position. So today I won't go into these more tangible factors. I will merely ask you to bear them in mind while I indulge in some speculation — and it really isn't anything more than speculation—about what seem to be the important intangible factors, the factors which might throw some light on Freedin intentions. In approaching the problem we may try to proceed in three stages, discussing first the way the boys on the Polithuro apparently think (or at least the way we think they think); second, what they have done thus far; and third, what they are likely to do next.

Part I

On the question of how the Polithuro thinks there are, of course, a number of factors that have to be considered - the cultural, historical, and geographic influences that would affect any Eussian whether Communist or Crariet; but there is not time to discuss all those factors here. The additional factor, quite possibly the most important factor, is Communist dectrine. I know it can be

SECRET

signed that today's Soviet rulers are completely cyulcul about this professed creed, and are merely a group of power-cad imperialists. Of course they are powermad imperialists, but they are power-med Communist imperialists. It seems to me impossible to draw a line between the influences of Communist dogma and the influences of personal and nationalistic subition. Soviet leaders are of course porsonally amount one, and they want to rule the world. But their training, education, and thinking have been along Communist lines. They therefore want to rule the world as Communists. They consider themselves the only true prophets of the Communist croad and they may believe, with deep sincerity, that the ultimate world-Communist state can only be achieved under their direction. They can no more escape the influence of Communist thought patterns than we can escape the influence of Western Christianity and parliamentary democracy.

So Communist ideology is important in trying to determine what the Kremlin is up to, and it is especially important because it purports to be both (a) infallible and (b) applicable to all human problems and situations. It offers an ensure to everything, what can we learn, from an examination of Communist dectrine, that will help us answer today's 64 ruble question?—The question of

SECRET

- 4 -

the cold war and the question of when and under what circumstances Soviet leaders might mask or accept a hot war. First, let us take a look at some of the basic concepts in Marxist theory which are symblicable to these questions. According to this theory:

All devalopment is the result of struggle between opposites. (Conflict is normal. There is no such thing as peace and mutual telerance.)

The ultimate goal of human progress in the ideal (Communist) society. (This, incidentally, is not bed, but a highly desirable condition to which no man could object. It is Stopia, the Millenium.)

Programs toward this goal is of necessity resisted by vested interests in the present (Capitalist) social order. (They can't help resisting).

Unfortunately, the exploited messes also cannot be made to see the light, blinded as they are by their unbringing.

The goal, therefore, can never be reached by persuasion and democratic pro-

SECRET

the inortic of the masses. Progress can be achieved only by violent revolution led by those who do see the vision.

A "revolutionary situation" is one in which (1) the masses are disillusioned and diseffected toward the region (2) the rulers are themselves disorganized and anable to operate their former centrals; (3) a revolutionary minority is enganised and ready to take over by force.

The Fovolution having occurred in one country, that country (the USER) must expect the undying endity of the objectablet world. He accommodation is pos-

That country (the USCR) must of mecessity provide a secure base and strong support for revolution in other countries, and all Communists throughout the world must, in turn, serve and defend it. Its preservation is essential to the world revolution.

comb. Its last stages will be marked by increasingly severe depressions (e.g. 1929) and by imperialistic wars in which predatory states cook survival by proy-

SECRET

-6-

ing on others (e.g., 1939).

This will weaken the capitalist world and create "revolutionary situations" for Communist exploitation.

But throughout this period there will be grave danger that the capitalist world, perceiving the trend of events, may combine to attack and destroy the USER in order to event its fate.

Along with the development of Communist power, centered on the USSE, there will be a centralization of capitalist power, based in the US. This polarization of power between the Soviet led Communist would and the US led capitalist would will be a prelude to the final decisive shouldown for world demination.

Now if Soviet leaders actually believe in the foregoing principles, what kind of world policy would they be likely to pursue? It seems to me that each a policy would be divided into two general caregories, defensive and offensive. The defensive phase of this policy would be designed to preserve, protect, and strongthen the base of Communism - the Communist bridgehead on the earth's surface - the USSE itself. The USSE is regarded by all "good" Communists as at the temple of Communistic faith, the citadel of Communist strength and base for

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

= 7 =

future Communist expansion into other a cas. The ENS. must, therefore, be guarded at all coats and no venture or maneuver abroad may be allowed to jeropardise its security.

The offensive phase of such a hypothetical world strategy would be directed toward dividing, weakening, and eventually destroying the capitalist world. But remember, that according to Communist dogme the disintegration of the capitalist would is sure to come sooner or later engusy. The Communists should try to accelerate this disintegration, but time is on their side and they need not undertake great risk or excessive expense in promoting it. When faced with strong opposition, they can, as Lemin directed, afford to take "one step backward" and bide hay their time until conditions change and permit them to take the "two steps forward". If this discussion has been on the right track thus for, then it seems Soviet strategy should, under present conditions, operate under four priorities. The first priority would be the protection and strengthening of the Seviet Union. The second would be the maintenance and concolidation of Communist control throughout the Satellites. The third priority would be to weaken, divide, and eventually gain control of peripheral countries and areas in Surope, the

SECRET

🦛 🐧 🝝

Near Past and Asia and, finally, gain control of the rest of the world.

Communist doctrine. On the basis of the record thus far, has this theory actually been observed in practice? I think in most respects it has. It seems a main generalization to say that the Kramlin has never let any consideration under priority 2 seriously interfere with priority 1, or any consideration under priority 3 interfere with either 1 or 2.

As perhaps the most convincing example of this, recall the situation in herope at the end of World War II. The 1988 then enjoyed, among the peoples of Septern Europe, a degree of good will and prestige that has never approached before or since. Likewise, the European Communist Parties were riding the crest of the wave. Furthermore, the unsettled conditions of post-war Europe were made-to-order for Communist exploitation. It should have been fairly basy for the Communist, by peaceful methods, and with subtle support from the breakin, to gain power through the old "popular front" technique.

CRET

Scunt 1

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

- 6 -

priority 3. The Breakin had two missions of higher priority: First, to "tighten up" in the USSE itself - to seek off all the contacts with the West that had been necessitated by the war - to believe up the idealogical defenses of the howeland; and second, to consolidate its position in the Satellites, and in the Coviet Tomas of Germany and Austria.

In pursuing these first two priorities, the USER soon destroyed its chances of winning the third priority. In the USER itself, the obvious hostility of Soviet demostic propagands raised, among Mestern observers, serious slarm.

The allow progress of Soviet democilisation created distrust. Intensified security measures created doubts as to what the Kramlin was up to.

In the Satellites, the ruthless methods used in establishing, and maintaining Communist governments attracted world-wide attention. So did Seviet tection
in the Mastern Zones of Garmany and Austria.

As a result, all the good will emjoyed by the USSA and by the native Communist parties in Western Surope was soon fritted away. It was replaced by an anti-Communist solidarity which would have been hard to imagine only a few years ago.

SECRET

JEUNE !

Approved For Release 2000/09/11: CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

- 10 -

The another example - Greece: The Seviets certainly had, during the early stance of the civil war in Greece, the capability of direct military intervention which would have gained a prompt decision in their favor. Certainly at the outset such intervention would probably not have precipitated a global war. but this - the expension of Generalise outside the existing Seviet Orbit - belongs water priority 3. It might have precipitated a global war and, therefore, might have interfered with priority 1 - the protection of the USSE proper. Therefore, it was never undertaken.

Another excepts of this principle is the Coviet retreat from Iran in the faces of Mastern presence in 1946. At that time, it would have been fairly cafe for the Catal to move to the Persian Gulf. I doubt if the Mastern powers would have gone to wer under those conditions, but there was some risk, some danger to the occurity of the Seviet Union in the remote possibility of a strong Western reaction. Therefore, the UCSE turned tail and ran.

Then there is the example of Yugoslavia. The USSE, I balleve you military capability of settling the Tito

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 . CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

- 11 -

problem in short order, but it has refrained from using this capability. At the time of Tito's first disaffection, it some to me that Soviet military action would have run very little risk of provoking Mediera intervention. But at least there was the possibility that it might provoke such intervention. Thus, even a vary serious throat under priority 2 - the conselliation of Seriet control Gyer one on the most important of the Satellites - was not permitted to interfore with priority 1. Incidentally, I thin in this compection there may have lasm another reason for Seviet restraint from direct action arginst fite. Acide from the resolve risk of Western intervention there was the danger that direct Soviet action sight do sorious desert to the Frenish's Medicale integrity. Communist destrine contains no provision for dealing with discident nationalism within a Communist family of mations. Any military action measure Tito would have done carlons violence to the idealogiel fig lost, which, in the words of ir. Garage Kennam, is beering relied upon to clothe the anichaes of Joviet imperialism. To resort to military force against Tito and thus admit that Commulat ideology was unequal to the task of preserving the solitority of the Communist would might have comped deep and lasting discrepes throughout the



Soviet Croit.

In Soviet policy in Germany. (Viewing, for this purpose, Amstern Germany, as a Satellite and Mestern Germany as a part of Most in Surope). The Browlin has consistently placed the consolidation of its control in East in Germany higher on its priority list than the penetration and amountain of Mestern Germany.

There have been a minior of occasions when some concessions, some relaxation in the Soviet control of the Sast in Some of Germany would have greatly improved the USSA's chances of gaining eventual control of the entire country. And yet the Erestin has been so determined and heavy-handed in mailing down its control of the Soviet Some of Germany that it has driven the Sectorn Zones Forther Into the Sectorn community of nations.

Part III

Thus far, then, at least up until the Ecroan venture, Joylet strategy has followed pretty closely Communist theory. We have had a fairly consistent pattern of what Lemin called "the unity of theory and practice". But have the

to take another look. And many people think they mark a definite new phase in Soviet strategy. A lot of very well informed specialists feel that the North Soviet strategy, and the later intervention of the Chinese, prove that the Freelin is now prepared to accept such greater risk of wer in pursuit of its aims than heretofere.

While that may well to true, it seems doubtful to see that the events in

Norwa alone prove it. In the first place, I doubt if the Kremlin expected the

US and the UN to react so vigorously. In the second place, even after we did,

the intervention of the Chinese "volunteers" doesn't seem to have greatly in
erespect the danger of the USEK seconing unintentionally involved. The Kremlin

hardly expects us to drop a temb on Moscow eithout warning. If they get such

a warning, that will be the time when they back down and call off the dogs.

There seems to be no good reason for them to back down yet. There is of course

the possibility that Chine may become involved in a major wer. But that wouldn't

recommend involve the USEK. Moscow may figure that if the US is in a mood

AFF.

2Friegi

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

to get in a big fight, the thing to do is to let us have it with China - the Erembin may be using China to bait us, to see how far we can be pushed. If we otrike back, the Areslin may expect us to strike back only at China. While that might upset the Chinose regime, it would be far less costly to the Fremlin than for us to attack the USE, and China sight serve to divert our energies from the more important areas of Europe and blunt our offensive empabilities against the UNER. China may be designed to serve as a ter baby in which we will got stuck, giving the USSR a free hand elsewhere. If so, there is still the question of why the Chinese have fallen for this. Maybe they have actually Record convinced by poviet propagands and pressure, by Poviet-planted intelligence, etc., that we are about to invade Manchuria or bomb Chinese tergets. Anyway, it is my personal opinion that Ecrea alone doesn't prove that the USSE in regardy to accept a carious risk of general war.

Although events in Morea, taken by themselves, don't necessarily prove that the MESE is ready to accept general war, there are a number of other reasons for considering such a Soviet nove within the next couple of years, a very definite possibility. While it is by no means infallible, I have my own "rule

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

of thumb" - definition of when the Kreelin may (ump off. (Incidentally, I would like to remind all of you that what is being said here is not necessarily official CIA thinking. Many of the ideas here are, of coarse, shared with a lot of other possile in CIA and many of them are by any means original with me. But I just want to make sure that this is not considered an official CIA estimate). But the definition of when the USER is likely to resort to general war might be stated as follows:

The USE will probably resort to general war only when Soviet leaders estimate that their power position and their state of war readiness are sufficient to ensure a good chance of success. Even then Soviet leaders will not be likely to deliberately resort to general war unless either they have soome convinced that their aims can probably no longer is attained by means short of war, or that an attack on the Soviet Orbit by the Western Powers is probable."

In applying these three conditions to the present world situation, we first have the question of how the Kremlin estimates its chances of success in a general war fought under present conditions. Time doesn't permit us to get into any



detailed discussion of present Soviet capabilities as compared with those of the West. Certainly the Soviet Crbit now has achieved a very high state of war readinges. But I personally doubt if the Breakin believes that the Soviet Orbit has yet developed the necessary strategic indestrial base to support a long war. Furthermore, I doubt if they believe they have sufficient atomic copabilities or sufficient defenses against stonic at ack to challenge the US at present. Seviet leaders apparently have deep respect for the industrial potential of the Western world in general and the US in particular, and they probably don't want to challenge the west until they have considerably broadened and improved their industrial base. The Fremlin would, therefore, want for potential)
several years to come to avoid war while it improves its industrial targets. It would particularly like to get Western Buerpe and especially Western Germany, adding these resources to the Doviet Orbit and denying them to the Mest. It would also like to get as much as possible of the other areas of Surasia, including Northern Burope, the Turkish straits area, the Persian Califa and Southeast Apia. Valter it has gotten most of these do I believe it will feel sufficiently confident of its overall strategic position to provoke the final show-

Approved For Release 2000/09/11: CIA-RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

- 17 -

does in the form of an intercontinental ver with the US. Until them Seviet

Leaders will hardly went to risk the Fruits of their life's work - the citadels

of Communist strength, the temples of Communist faith and their own personal

communists of risks graphie.

The next question is whother Soviet and here lost confidence in their ability to achieve their aims by means short of war. At the moment they appear shecked in Marope and the Near East. But there is no reason for them to give up entirely. In fact, just recently we in this country have had a very rude awakening to couse of the difficulties of developing an effective suropean barrier to Seriot aggression. The Breakin is certainly keenly sware of these difficulties and is doing everything it can to aggresate them. It is having some initial successos. While there is no doubt that the USSR foresees the NATO, and especially westward the regression progress of Germany, as an obstacle to Germanist expansion and possibly even as a threat to the Soviet Orbit, the realization of the objactives of HATO are still a long way off. In the mean time there are a lot of things that the Krealin can do to undermind it, many of them they are already

SECRET

doing. They can create doubt, fear, and division among the Western Powers.

They can appeal to Germans in the name of German unity and they can intimidate
the Germans by warning them of the consequences of their participation. They
can, I am afraid, take considerable comfort from the signs of political disunity here in the US. Moreover, there are soft spots in the Middle East and
tempting targets in Southeast Asia. In terms of long-range war strategy, therefore, the Politburo probably sees no reason to abandon hope of future success
by means short of war.

Finally, does the Kremlin actually fear an attack by the Western Powers?

Judging from Soviet propaganda the answer would certainly seem to be yes. However, Soviet leaders have in the past demonstrated a pretty skillful ability to reduce international tension by a few kind words and token concessions.

And the Politburo, at least, must realize the difficulties that leaders in the democratic nation would have in preparing and launching an aggressive war even if they want to. The only reason we are mobilizing at all is because of Soviet provocations, and the better informed observers in Moscow surely must realize that by even temporarily abstaining from these provocations they might undermine

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 RDP75-00001R000300470044-6

* 19 m

cur entire mobilization program.

If what I have cold makes any sense, and if Soviet leaders are not planning or expecting general wer in the near future, then why are they devoting such offerts to the development of soviet military capabilities. There may be several explanations:

- e. First to ensure the protection of the Soviet Orbit against even the remote possibility of aggression from shroad.
- b. To support, perhaps covertly, Communist revalutionary or military operations in peripheral areas and at the same time discourage the Western Powers from intervening in defense of recieting regions in the pari-
- a. To sorve "as a big stick" to back up the threats of Coviet propaganda and diplomacy.
- d. To intim date and discourage the nations templed to align themselves with the UD.
- to increase the appeal of the Saviet sponsored "peace" empaign, by making the threat of war super serious.

CORET

I. To ensure continued domination of the Satellites whose military capsdilities are being rapidly developed.

Does all of this mean that the threat of war in the mean future - within the next couple of years - is not vary serious? Definitely it is serious. While the foregoing theories appear logical in one light of Cosmunist dectrine and the recent history of Soviet foreign policy, they are only theories. On the other side of the ledger we have some other theories and some concrete facts, several of which are quite cainous.

a. The SSE is now faced with the possibility of an effective NATO organization and of the consent and participation in NATO of Mostern Germany. While probably not the sole factor in a decision to resert to senseal way, the rearmment of Cornery might well be the decisive factor. The Breakin might figure that a rearmed Germany, particularly in the NATO program, would constitute an intelerable obstacle to future Soviet muccess in Europe and perhaps even a threat to the Soviet Orbit. While

- Z -

and whom the Erculin Siguron it can apevent these developments only by respecting to war, it tell be strongly templed to do so. Wille both of these developments and still some distance user, the breakin probably mail not wit until they had become a reality before deciding to sight. at any time that Sariet leaf as think that WATO and Saram realments cannot be prevented by means a cost of war, the NAA might attack, The French might estimate that at present it ould everym durope and parts of the K or Dagt and Asia and that the CEER could purvive a retalleteny lis abanda attack, but that # in a few years no ant or how each Coviet affereive and defensive cepablities sight be improved in the meention, the 65 smald be able to deliver a real kneekent/blow at the 1955. The Krealin might, therefore, decide to strike now, haping to survive our retaliation and then leaving us in the position of knving used our stonic canabilities without complete success, faced with the choles of negotiating a settlement that would recognize Seviet duminetion throughout Aresia or of entering into a long, burdensons, and per-

hans inconclusive expansant's race with the WESk in preparation for the

Climi ahomicun.

- rapidly to improve the war rendiness of the USSE and the Satellites.

 Internal accurity machinery have been extensive; strategic items have been base been standardized; Satellite military forces, especially in Southeast burspe, have been excended and conjugad with modern weapone; and the Soviet storic program is moving about on a high priority.
- That ever reading of Soviet Propaganda and Communist dozen may indicate that that the thinking of Soviet Rombers, we have to face the fact that nows of us can speak with any assurance on this point. The men in the Sremi's are probably the most isolated individuals in the world (with possible the case of their own prisoners who are loing "reeducated" in the dames of Siberia). We have no way of knowing how the Polithuro findess or misjudges the capabilities and intentions of the US and of other free nations. We den't know that information or misinformation they receive about the outside world and we don't know to what extent

*** ****

they pay to deluding themselves about their own capabilities.

From all of this discussion I am atraid I haven't lost you entlesson with my very estisfactory makes to the question that we are all expermed with. It wooms that about all we can safely say is that we can't very safely say any thing. By own belief is that a deliberate poviet resort to general war within the next couple of years does not yet constitute a probability, but certainly a very strong possibility. And there is an equally strong possibility that because of their faciation and their possible tendencies to misj dge the west and delude themselves, Soviet leaders might stumble into war through misceloulation. And then, of course, there is the continuing possibility - I think we can call it a probability - that the Breakin will use its Satellites for limited apprecain whenever and whorever the chances of success appear feverable, and the risk of thereby provoking a general war appears slight. As I mentioned earlier, the Ereclin seems intent upon gaining as ruch of the area and resources of Surasia as possible without getting the SSSR involved in any war with the West. Military action by the Satellites is one way of doing this. The pattern has been already established by Borth Korean forces and Chinese "volunteers"

in Merea, and by covert help to Communist forces in Southeast Asia. This pattern may be repeated in this way or another against such targets as Ingoslavia, Greece, and perhaps even western Germany.

An you have soon, the question is hard to answer and we can't get the answer from the information which we now have. While we may never get the final enguer my own feeling is that we can get a much better appear then we now have if we can concentrate on the resources at our disposal on collecting and analyzing the various little lits and pieces of factual information out of which the final answer has to come. The everer is not going to come from the works of Perr, bemin or Stalin, as important as they are. If we get it, it is more likely to occus from as wrate factual data about what is going on in the oil refineries at Boku, in the tenk plants in the brale, in the railroad yards at brest-litover, in the herbor at Surmanek, and on the air Fields on the Chukotek peninsula. Hery of you continuen are going to be working to get just this kind of information, and this is the kind of information that we are going to have to rely on.